



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/698,783	10/31/2003	David S. Benco	LUTZ 2 00250	1742
48116 7:	590 09/12/2006		EXAMINER	
FAY SHARPE/LUCENT			DEAN, RAYMOND S	
1100 SUPERIO SEVENTH FLO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CLEVELAND, OH 44114			2618	
			DATE MAIL ED. 00/12/200	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
_	10/698,783	BENCO, DAVID S.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Raymond S. Dean	2618				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed he mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 Oc	ctober 2003					
	action is non-final.					
<i>,</i>	1 -					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 - 22</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 - 22</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 October 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 0405.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/698,783

Art Unit: 2618

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 1 recites the limitation "the prompt module" in line 13. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1 2, 4 7, 9 15, 17 20, 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shinohara (US 2002/0132608).

Regarding Claim 1, Shinohara teaches a system to provide transmission of video data from a first mobile station to a second mobile station in a network, the system comprising: a call recognition module operative to recognize a call from the first mobile station to the second mobile station as including the video data and, if the video data is present, validating the second mobile station as capable of receiving the video data (Figures 3, 5, Sections 0034, 0036 – 0037, 0044 – 0047) and determining a delivery

Application/Control Number: 10/698,783

Art Unit: 2618

preference for the second mobile station (Section 0049, the delivery preference is to have multimedia message that is held in the MMS servers immediately forwarded); a storage module operative to have stored therein the video data (Section 0036); an announcement module operative to selectively prompt, based on the delivery preference, the second mobile station if the second mobile station is validated (Sections 0045 – 0047, the prompt is the incoming call notification), receive a response to the prompt from the second mobile station and selectively forward the response (Section 0048); and, a control module operative to store the video data in the storage module upon recognition (Section 0047), receive the response to the prompt and, based on the response, perform at least one of maintaining the video data in the storage module, deleting the video data from the storage module, and forwarding the video data to the second mobile station (Sections 0048 – 0049, the video data is forwarded to the mobile telephones).

Regarding Claim 10, Shinohara teaches a method for transmitting video data from a first mobile station to a second mobile station in a network, the method comprising: recognizing a call from the first mobile station to the second mobile station as including the video data; if the video data is present, validating the second mobile station as capable of receiving the video data (Figures 3, 5, Sections 0034, 0036 – 0037, 0044 – 0047) and determining a delivery preference for the second mobile station (Section 0049, the delivery preference is to have multimedia message that is held in the MMS servers immediately forwarded); storing the video data in a storage module (Section 0036); selectively prompting, based on the delivery preference, the

second mobile station if the second mobile station is validated (Sections 0045 – 0047, the prompt is the incoming call notification); receiving a response to the prompt from the second mobile station; and, based on the response, performing at least one of maintaining the video data in the storage module, deleting the video data from the storage module, and forwarding the video data to the second mobile station (Sections 0048 – 0049, the video data is forwarded to the mobile telephones).

Regarding Claim 18, Shinohara teaches a system for transmitting video data from a first mobile station to a second mobile station in a network, the system comprising: means for recognizing a call from the first mobile station to the second mobile station as including the video data; means for validating the second mobile station as capable of receiving the video data (Figures 3, 5, Sections 0034, 0036 – 0037, 0044 - 0047) and determining a delivery preference for the second mobile station (Section 0049, the delivery preference is to have multimedia message that is held in the MMS servers immediately forwarded); means for storing the video data in a storage module (Section 0036); means for selectively prompting the second mobile station if the second mobile station is validated (Sections 0045 – 0047, the prompt is the incoming call notification); means for receiving a response to the prompt from the second mobile station; and, means for performing, based on the response, at least one of maintaining the video data in the storage module, deleting the video data from the storage module, and forwarding the video data to the second mobile station (Sections 0048 – 0049, the video data is forwarded to the mobile telephones).

Art Unit: 2618

Regarding Claims 2, 11, Shinohara teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claims 1, 10. Shinohara further teaches wherein the call recognition module validates the second mobile station by performing a look-up operation on a mobile subscriber database (Sections 0037, 0045).

Regarding Claims 4, 12, Shinohara teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claims 1, 10. Shinohara further teaches wherein the prompt comprises a ringing tone (Sections 0047 – 0048, typical mobile phones are notified of an incoming call via a ringing tone).

Regarding Claims 5, 13, Shinohara teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claims 1, 10. Shinohara further teaches wherein the prompt comprises an announcement (Section 0047, the notification is the announcement).

Regarding Claims 6, 14, 19, Shinohara teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claims 1, 10, 18. Shinohara further teaches wherein the response comprises at least one of a rejection of the video data, a request for immediate delivery of the video data, and a request for delayed delivery of the video data (Sections 0048 – 0049).

Regarding Claims 7, 15, 20, Shinohara teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claims 1, 10, 18. Shinohara further teaches a call origination module operative to originate, based on the delivery preference, a subsequent call to the second mobile station to selectively prompt, based on the delivery preference, the second mobile station if the second mobile station is validated (Section 0047), receive

Art Unit: 2618

the response to the prompt from the second mobile station and selectively forward the response to the control module (Sections 0048 – 0049).

Regarding Claims 9, 17, 22 Shinohara teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claims 1, 10, 18. Shinohara further teaches wherein the control module deletes the video data from the storage module if the call recognition module does not validate the second mobile station (Sections 0047 – 0048, mobile telephone 10 sub 3 is not eligible to receive the video data, the data stored in the servers will be erased after said data is forwarded to the mobile telephones since the memory space is finite).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shinohara (US 2002/0132608) in view of Hooper et al. (5,442,390).

Regarding Claim 3, Shinohara teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 1. Shinohara does not teach wherein the storage module is a first-in, first-out (FIFO) buffer.

Hooper teaches a storage module that is a first-in, first-out buffer (Column 4 lines 36 – 47).

Application/Control Number: 10/698,783 Page 7

Art Unit: 2618

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the memory of servers of Shinohara with the FIFO structure Hooper for the purpose of providing a dynamically adjustable memory that enables data at variable sizes and rates to be written in and read out as taught by Hooper.

7. Claims 8, 16, 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shinohara (US 2002/0132608) in view of Cox et al. (US 2001/0044325).

Regarding Claims 8, 16, 21, Shinohara does not teach wherein the announcement module provides a signal to the first mobile station that delivery will be blocked if the call recognition module does not validate the second mobile station.

Cox teaches providing a signal to a mobile station that delivery will be blocked if the called device is not validated (Section 0048).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention to modify the system of Shinohara with the call blocking circuitry and method of Cox for the purpose of enabling an effective management of the wireless telephones by an organization that issues said wireless telephones to selected employees as taught by Cox.

Application/Control Number: 10/698,783 Page 8

Art Unit: 2618

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raymond S. Dean whose telephone number is 571-272-7877. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:00-2:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward F. Urban can be reached on 571-272-7899. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Raymond S. Dean August 28, 2006

> EDWARD F. URBAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600